Effects of precipitation and potential evaporation on actual evapotranspiration over the Laohahe basin, northern China
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1 Introduction

The compelling phenomenon of global warming (IPCC, 2007) has attracted much attention recently. Huntington (2006) pointed out that the most important consequence due to climatic change should be its intensification of hydrological cycle both at regional and global scales. As the main consumption of water resources, evapotranspiration plays an important role in regional water budget, especially for water-deficit areas. During the past 50 years, a downward trend of pan evaporation and/or reference evapotranspiration has been found in most regions of the world, covering countries both in northern and southern hemispheres (Peterson et al., 1995; Chattopadhyay et al., 1997; Roderick et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004; Burn et al., 2007).

The decline of pan and reference evaporation indicates accepted reduction in total energy supply, but it is also debatable whether it implies a similar tendency for actual evapotranspiration ($E_a$). Liu et al. (2010) analyzed the $E_a$ over the Yellow River basin, and a decreasing trend was detected during 1961–2006. Similar results were obtained in the Hai River basin, China (Li et al., 2013). In this study, the Laohahe basin, located in northern China, is chosen as a case study on $E_a$ modeling and relevant spatio-temporal variations analyzing. To address this, two methods are adopted. One is the hydrological sensitivity analysis (HS) implemented on the basis of Fu’s equation (Fu, 1981). The other is the hydrological model simulation (HM) by the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model. Specific objectives of our study are to calculate and compare annual $E_a$ with two different methods, and
to comprehensively estimate the impacts of climate variability and climate change on \( E_a \) at multiple time scales.

2 Study area and data

The Laohahe basin is located at the junction of the Hebei Province, Liaoning Province, and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region between 41–42.75° N, 117.25–120° E (Fig. 1), with 18,112 km² of area. Its elevation ranges from 427 to 2054 m, and significantly descends from southwest to northeast. The mean areal annual temperature, precipitation and runoff during the period of 1964–2009 are 7.58 °C, 418.3 and 28.7 mm respectively, and about 80 % of the annual precipitation falls from May to September every year. Besides the whole Laohahe basin, we select other 8 sub-catchments (Fig. 1) including 6 headwater catchments (colored areas) and 2 midstream catchments (marked with red-line boundaries) for analysis.

Observed daily records of 52 precipitation-gauged stations and 9 discharge-measured ones are provided by the Water Resources Department of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. Meteorological observations are obtained from four national standardized meteorological stations. All these hydro-meteorological variables have continuous records from 1964–2009. The Inverse Distance Weighting method (IDW, Bartier and Keller, 1996) is adopted to generate distributed precipitation and areal values. Potential evaporation (PET) is calculated with the Penman-Monteith equation recommended by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, Allen et al., 1998). In addition, the soil and vegetation data needed by VIC are collected. The soil data are derived from the 5-min the Food and Agriculture Organization dataset, and the land cover data is provided by the Chinese Academy of Science.

3 Methodology

3.1 Hydrological sensitivity analysis

Hydrological sensitivity analysis is based on the assumption that a variation in mean annual runoff or evapotranspiration can be determined by the following expression (Koster and Suarez, 1999):

\[
\Delta X = \beta \Delta P + \gamma \Delta PET.
\]  

(1)

where \( \Delta X \) represents the change in runoff or evapotranspiration in response to alterations in precipitation and PET; \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are the sensitivity coefficients with respect to precipitation and PET respectively. The sensitivity coefficients are generally derived from evaporation equations by computing partial derivatives with respect to precipitation and PET, re-
spectively, which can be further expressed as:

$$\Delta E = \frac{\partial E}{\partial P} \times \Delta P + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \text{PET}} \times \Delta \text{PET}. \quad (2)$$

In this study, the Fu’s equation (Fu, 1981) proposed on the basis of the Budyko’s hypothesis is employed to estimate average annual evapotranspiration and relevant sensitivity coefficients, whose analytical expression is described as:

$$\frac{E_a}{P} = 1 + \left(\frac{\text{PET}}{P}\right)^{\alpha} - 1 + \left(\frac{\text{PET}}{P}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha$ is a model parameter determined by land surface conditions including relative infiltration capacity, catchment average slope and fractional vegetation coverage (Yang et al., 2007). Accordingly, sensitivity coefficients (partial derivatives) based on Eq. (3) are given as:

$$\frac{\partial E_a}{\partial P} = 1 - \left(\frac{\text{PET}}{P}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_a}{\partial \text{PET}} = 1 - \left(\frac{\text{PET}}{P}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\text{PET}}{P}\right)^{\alpha-1}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, estimating the impact of climatic change on evapotranspiration can be realized by substituting Eqs. (4) and (5) into Eq. (2). It should also be noted that the parameter $\alpha$ is calibrated by comparing average annual $E_a$ derived from Eq. (3) and from water balance analysis during the baseline period. Relevant performance is evaluated by three statistical indices: the root of mean square error (RMSE), relative bias (BIAS) and correlation coefficient (CC).

3.2 Hydrological model simulation

Hydrological model is also a widely used tool for assessing hydrological responses to climate change (Bao et al., 2011; Lan et al., 2013). Different from hydrological sensitivity analysis, it elaborately depicts hydrological processes with physically based framework at flexible time step. In this study, the semi-distributed Variable Infiltration Capacity model (VIC, Liang et al., 2004) is selected, which plays multiple roles, as both a hydrological model and land surface model (LSM). VIC has been extensively used in studies reflecting heterogeneous characteristics of $E_a$ changes ($\Delta E$). At annual scale, simple linear regression is introduced to reflect $\Delta E$ trend during 1980–2009. As can be seen in Fig. 3, most sub-catchments suffer negative trends, and the results are similar to Li et al. (2013) which demonstrated the actual evapotranspiration in Haihe River basin (close to our study area with similar climatic conditions) significantly decreased. On the whole, northern catchments (CTL, XI and CF) within the Laohaha basin have suffered higher descending rates than the southern catchments (YSWZ, JS and CTL). It implies that tendency of climatic change in semi-arid regions is more significant than in semi-humid areas.

At decadal scale, a decrease-increase-decrease pattern of $\Delta E$ is found in those 9 catchments (Table 2). For the whole studied basin, $\Delta E$ computed by the hydrological model are $-38.6$, $21.2$ and $-49.6$ mm in 1980s, 1990s and 2000s, respectively, while corresponding values given by the hy-
Figure 2. Comparison of annual $E_a$ simulated by VIC-3L model and Fu’s equation for (a–i) 9 catchments. The black solid (red hollow) circles represent results in the baseline (subsequent) periods.

Table 1. Optimized parameters and performance of the VIC model during the baseline period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catchments</th>
<th>Calibrated parameters</th>
<th>Model performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>$d_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XJD</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPZ</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHH</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrological sensitivity analysis method are $-35.8, 25$ and $-50$ mm, respectively. It is obvious that dry climatic circumstances (1980s and 2000s) have imposed more effect on $E_a$ than the wet status (1990s). For the two midstream catchments, TPZ catchment shows more reduction of $E_a$ than CF catchment during dry decade, whereas more increments of $E_a$ are found in CF catchment in the wet decade. It implies that the impact of climatic change on $E_a$ is more intense in
Table 2. Estimates of $\Delta E$ (mm yr$^{-1}$) for 9 catchments derived from hydrological model simulation method (HM) and hydrological sensitivity analysis method (HS) during the changed periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XJ</td>
<td>−15.3</td>
<td>−23.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>−50.5</td>
<td>−49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>−14.9</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>−42.1</td>
<td>−36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XJD</td>
<td>−51.8</td>
<td>−64.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>−39.4</td>
<td>−29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSWZ</td>
<td>−63.0</td>
<td>−49.8</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>−67.7</td>
<td>−48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>−33.5</td>
<td>−24.9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>−35.5</td>
<td>−28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>−52.7</td>
<td>−50.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>−44.8</td>
<td>−56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>−25.7</td>
<td>−24.2</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>−40.3</td>
<td>−36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPZ</td>
<td>−45.5</td>
<td>−51.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>−47.3</td>
<td>−56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHH</td>
<td>−38.6</td>
<td>−36.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>−49.6</td>
<td>−51.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Annual series of climate-induced $\Delta E$ over the whole changed periods. Black solid (red dash) lines denote the $\Delta E$ calculated using the hydrological model simulation method (hydrological sensitivity analysis method). The values in the lower right hand corner of each plot give the correlation coefficient between the two derived $\Delta E$ series.

semi-arid areas than that in semi-humid regions. Considering the six headwater catchments, DZ, YSWZ, XJD and JS catchments located in southern parts generally show similar patterns of $E_a$ variations with TPZ catchment, while that of CTL and XJ catchments situate in northern parts are analogous to CF catchment.

During the whole changed duration (1980–2009), a general 0–20 mm reduction of $E_a$ is found in most parts of the Laohahe basin with larger reduction in southwest part of the
Laohahe basin, in which more than 40 mm of reduction in $E_a$ is observed (Fig. 4). Additionally, a 0–80 mm increment of $E_a$ is detected in northern and eastern parts of CF catchment. Some differences in derived spatial distribution of $\Delta E$ from the two methods are also found, especially in TPZ catchment, where hydrological model simulation method seems to present more reduction of $E_a$ than hydrological sensitivity analysis method.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we adopt two different methods to evaluate the impact of climate change on $E_a$ within the Laohahe basin. Like other statistical methods, the hydrological sensitivity method treats the studied basin as a black box, and provides the relationship between climate change and hydrological responses without consideration of subsistent hydrological processes. This hypothesis guarantees its effectiveness in general reflecting $E_a$ changes induced by quantitative change of annual precipitation and PET, whereas other effects such as seasonal properties of climatic variables which also influence hydrological responses are not captured. In contrast, the hydrological model simulation method takes its advantages for the elaborate depiction of hydrological processes at a daily step. Overall, at annual scale, the $E_a$ simulated by the two methods is comparable, meanwhile the spatiotemporal variation of $E_a$ derived by each method is similar.

Affected by combined impacts of decreased precipitation and PET, most sub-catchments of this region have suffered a downward trend of annual $E_a$ with a higher descending rate in northern catchments. At decadal scale, $E_a$ presents significant decadal oscillation, and northern catchments generally suffer more changes of $E_a$ than southern catchments, implying that the impact of climatic change on $E_a$ is more intense in semi-arid areas than that in semi-humid regions. For whole changed durations, a general 0–20 mm reduction of $E_a$ is found in most parts of this region, which is in good agreement with the pattern of precipitation variability.
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